```
1
 2
 3
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                            DISTRICT OF OREGON
 4
                            PORTLAND DIVISION
 5
 6
 7
   SHAWNA C. MITCHELL,
                                             No. 06:12-cv-01062-HU
8
                   Plaintiff,
 9
   vs.
                                             ORDER FOR EAJA FEES
10
   CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
   Commissioner of Social Security,
11
                   Defendant.
12
13
14
   Richard F. McGinty
   P.O. Box 12806
15
   Salem, OR 97309
16
        Attorney for Plaintiff
17
   S. Amanda Marshall
18
   United States Attorney
   Adrian L. Brown
19
   Assistant United States Attorney
   1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600
20
   Portland, OR 97204-2904
21
22
   David Morado
   Regional Chief Counsel, Region X, Seattle
23
   Nancy A. Mishalanie
   Special Assistant United States Attorney
   Office of the General Counsel
24
   Social Security Administration
   701 Fifth Avenue, Suite #2900 M/S 901
25
   Seattle, WA 98104-7075
26
         Attorneys for Defendant
27
28
```

Case 6:12-cv-01062-HU Document 32 Filed 07/18/14 Page 1 of 4

SIMON, United States District Judge:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In this case, the plaintiff Shawna C. Mitchell sought judicial review of the Commissioner's decision denying her applications for Disability Insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1381 et seq., and Supplemental Security Income benefits under Title XVI of the Act. The administrative record was a relatively short 531 pages. Mitchell filed a seventeen-page opening brief, raising three assertions of error by the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"). In response, the Commissioner filed a motion to remand, noting the ALJ had failed to resolve an issue raised by the medical expert in the case. Mitchell argued any remand should be for calculation and payment of benefits, rather than further proceedings, but if the court did order remand for further proceedings, then the court's instructions to the ALJ should be more specific than the Commissioner's suggestion. Judge Hubel recommended remand for further proceedings, and made specific suggestions regarding what those proceedings should include, and limit within which they should time be Neither party objected, and on March 24, 2014, I remanded the case.

Mitchell's attorney Richard McGinty filed a motion for attorney's fees in the amount of \$8,197.86, under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412, subject to any offset as described in Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 130 S. Ct. 2521, 177 L. Ed. 2d 91 (2010). Mr. McGinty noted the Commissioner planned to oppose the motion. Dkt. #26. Thereafter, the parties continued to negotiate, and they now have filed a stipulated motion requesting an award of \$6,000 in EAJA fees for Mr. McGinty. Mr. McGinty has submitted time records (Dkt. #27-2) indicating he expended a total

2 - ORDER FOR EAJA FEES

of 44.1 hours on this case, which I note slightly exceeds the twenty-to-forty-hour range Judge Mosman found to be a "reasonable amount of time to spend on a social security case that does not present particular difficulty." Harden v. Comm'r, 497 F. Supp. 2d 1214, 1215 (D. Or. 2007) (noting "some consensus among the district courts" on this point; citing cases).

Adjusting the EAJA statutory fee of \$125 per hour using the Ninth Circuit's formula for cost-of-living adjustments, see Nadarajah v. Holder, 569 F.3d 906, 918 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing Thangaraja v. Gonzales, 428 F.3d 870, 876-77 (9th Cir. 2005)); Jones v. Espy, 10 F.3d 690, 692-93 (9th Cir. 1993); the EAJA-adjusted hourly rate for 2012 is \$184.32, for 2013 is \$187.02, and for May 2014 is \$188.04. Multiplying counsel's hours for the respective years results in attorney fees of \$3,520.51¹ for 2012 (19.1 x \$184.32); \$3,759.10 for 2013 (20.1 x \$187.02); and \$921.40² for 2014 (4.9 x \$188.04); for a total of \$8,201.01 (\$2,201.01 more than the amount to which the parties have stipulated).

I find that the stipulated fee of \$6,000.00 is reasonable under the circumstances, and **grant** the parties' motion. Mitchell is awarded the sum of \$6,000.00 in attorney's fees under the EAJA. If Mitchell's EAJA fees are not subject to any offset allowed under the Department of the Treasury's Offset Program pursuant to Ratliff, then the check for EAJA fees shall be made payable to

 $^{^{1}}$ Mr. McGinty multiplied 19.1 hours x \$184.32, and mistakenly arrived at a 2012 total of \$3,522.36. Dkt. #27-2, p. 1.

²This figure differs from Mr. McGinty's calculation because he used the 2013 EAJA-adjusted rate, rather than the most recent 2014 rate. See Dkt. #27-2, p. 2.

^{3 -} ORDER FOR EAJA FEES

Case 6:12-cv-01062-HU Document 32 Filed 07/18/14 Page 4 of 4

Mitchell's attorney Richard F. McGinty, based on Mitchell's assignment of such fees to her attorney. See Dkt. #27-1, p. 2. Any check for EAJA fees shall be mailed directly to Mitchell's attorney Richard F. McGinty at P.O. Box 12806, Salem, Oregon 97309-0806.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this day of July, 2014.

MICHAEL H. SIMON

United States District Judge

4 - ORDER FOR EAJA FEES